NON-ORTHODOX CHRISTIANS IN MOLDOVA: HISTORICAL AND CONTEMPORARY CONDITIONS

Igor A. Kotler

Religious intolerance in Moldova must be understood within the broader historical, political, and social context of a society in which Orthodox Christianity has traditionally occupied a dominant position and religious affiliation remains closely associated with national identity, cultural heritage, and political loyalty. Baptists, Pentecostals, Seventh-day Adventists, Jehovah's Witnesses, members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, and other non-Orthodox religious communities have historically encountered hostility, social exclusion, intimidation, and interference with their religious activities. Although Moldova formally guarantees freedom of religion and has established legal protections for religious minorities, these safeguards have not eliminated deeply rooted prejudices, the influence of religious majoritarianism, or the difficulties that members of minority religious communities may encounter in obtaining effective protection.

The historical origins of these conditions extend to the nineteenth century, when Protestant movements, including Baptists, Evangelicals, and Seventh-day Adventists, began establishing communities in territories of the Russian Empire, including Bessarabia, which encompasses much of present-day Moldova. Their activities frequently encountered opposition from Orthodox religious authorities and imperial institutions that regarded independent religious movements as challenges to the established religious and political order. Protestant communities were often portrayed as foreign, socially disruptive, and incompatible with the religious traditions of the predominantly Orthodox population. These attitudes contributed to hostility

toward religious minorities and established patterns of suspicion that survived successive political transformations.

Following the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917, Soviet authorities pursued systematic campaigns against organized religion, targeting religious institutions, clergy, and believers through surveillance, arrests, confiscation of property, restrictions on worship, and imprisonment. Baptists, Pentecostals, Adventists, Jehovah's Witnesses, and other independent religious communities were especially vulnerable because their religious activities and organizational independence were regarded as incompatible with Soviet ideological conformity. Many believers were imprisoned, deported, or subjected to severe mistreatment, while religious congregations were forced underground or placed under extensive state supervision. The Soviet authorities frequently portrayed Protestant communities as politically unreliable, ideologically dangerous, and connected to hostile foreign influences.

The historical characterization of non-Orthodox Christians as *sektanty*, or sectarians, became deeply embedded in Soviet public discourse and continued to influence attitudes after Moldova achieved independence in 1991. The term commonly carries derogatory associations with religious fanaticism, manipulation, foreign influence, and social deviance. Its continued use against Protestant communities contributes to their stigmatization and reinforces the perception that their beliefs are incompatible with traditional Moldovan identity. Such stereotypes may encourage hostility toward individuals who openly practice minority religions, participate in missionary activities, or reject Orthodox religious customs.

Orthodox Christianity continues to exercise considerable influence over Moldovan society and political culture. Although the country is constitutionally secular, the legal framework acknowledges the exceptional historical importance of Orthodox Christianity.

Religious affiliation frequently intersects with political identity, particularly in debates concerning Moldova's relationship with Russia, Romania, and European institutions. The Moscow-affiliated Moldovan Orthodox Church and the Romanian-affiliated Metropolis of Bessarabia occupy prominent positions within this environment. Their institutional influence, combined with longstanding conservative social attitudes, can contribute to the marginalization of religious communities regarded as foreign or insufficiently connected to Moldovan national traditions.

Historically, hostility toward Protestants has sometimes extended beyond verbal insults and social exclusion to physical violence, obstruction of worship, and interference with religious property. In 1999, in the village of Gelo Mengir, for example, a Baptist congregation experienced a violent attack on the construction site of its church, involving a large crowd and Orthodox clergy. The incident illustrates how religious hostility can develop into collective intimidation and destruction of property when minority religious communities attempt to establish a visible institutional presence. Other historical reports have described difficulties obtaining registration, interference with religious gatherings, hostile campaigns by local clergy, and inadequate responses by public authorities.

In 2006, Forum 18 reported that police and health officials attempted to close a Baptist children's camp amid disputed allegations concerning sanitary conditions. Baptist representatives maintained that the authorities themselves had contributed to the problems by interrupting the water supply. Such incidents demonstrate how administrative and regulatory measures can become instruments of pressure against minority religious communities, particularly when local authorities exercise broad discretion and religious hostility influences official decision-making.

The adoption of Moldova's 2007 Law on Religious Denominations and Their Component Parts established a legal framework for religious organizations, but concerns have persisted regarding unequal treatment, administrative obstacles, and the practical ability of minority communities to exercise their rights. Historically, some religious organizations experienced difficulties obtaining registration or authorization to establish places of worship. Such obstacles can substantially interfere with religious life because legal recognition affects a community's ability to own property, maintain bank accounts, employ personnel, receive financial assistance, and conduct organized religious activities. Although the legal environment has changed since the earlier post-Soviet period, these historical experiences remain relevant to understanding the mistrust and vulnerability of minority religious communities.

Jehovah's Witnesses have also experienced serious difficulties in Moldova, including hostility from local residents, opposition by Orthodox clergy, and attempts to obstruct the establishment of places of worship. On March 29, 2016, public opposition to the construction of a Kingdom Hall in Ceadîr-Lunga culminated in a municipal hearing at which Mayor Anatolie Topal expressed opposition to the project while invoking his Orthodox Christian beliefs. This incident illustrates how religious majoritarian attitudes can influence local administrative decisions and create obstacles for minority communities seeking to exercise legally protected religious rights.

In May 2016, the Moldovan Orthodox Church established a department devoted to missionary activities and opposition to religious movements characterized as sectarian. Statements by Orthodox representatives portrayed certain Protestant communities as organizations that attracted believers away from the traditional Church through humanitarian assistance and other activities. Such rhetoric contributed to the stigmatization of minority

Christian denominations and reinforced the perception that Protestant religious activity represented a threat to Orthodox religious and cultural identity. Similar public statements characterizing Jehovah's Witnesses as dangerous sectarians further demonstrate the persistence of hostility toward religious communities outside the Orthodox tradition.

The experience of members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints provides another illustration of the challenges confronting smaller religious communities. The Church established congregations in Moldova during the post-Soviet period, particularly in Chișinău, but its relatively limited membership and foreign missionary connections can make it vulnerable to stereotypes concerning nontraditional religious movements. Members of smaller religious communities may encounter suspicion, derogatory characterization, social isolation, and hostility from individuals who regard religious conversion or participation in foreign-origin religious organizations as a rejection of traditional values. Nevertheless, the extent and frequency of recent incidents involving this particular denomination require separate documentary verification. International human rights institutions have repeatedly expressed concerns about the treatment of religious minorities in Moldova. On November 4, 2011, former United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Navi Pillay specifically identified attacks and stigmatization affecting Muslims, Jews, Protestants, and Jehovah's Witnesses. Such concerns demonstrate that religious intolerance has historically affected multiple communities and cannot be understood solely as a conflict between Orthodox Christianity and Protestant denominations. Hostility toward religious minorities has also intersected with nationalism, ethnic prejudice, and perceptions of political or cultural disloyalty.

More recent international reporting confirms that religious freedom remains an important human rights concern, although conditions vary significantly among religious communities and

geographic regions. The United States Department of State's 2023 Report on International Religious Freedom, published in 2024, acknowledged constitutional protections for religious practice while also documenting the privileged historical position of Orthodox Christianity and continuing problems affecting minority religious groups. The report identified particularly serious restrictions in Transnistria, including the refusal of the de facto authorities to register Jehovah's Witness congregations and restrictions on their religious literature and activities. These findings demonstrate that formal recognition of religious freedom does not necessarily guarantee that minority believers can practice their faith without interference.

The situation in Transnistria is especially troubling. This internationally recognized part of Moldova remains outside the effective control of the Moldovan government and is administered by Russia-backed de facto authorities. Religious communities in the region operate under a substantially more restrictive regulatory framework. Jehovah's Witnesses have reported repeated refusals to register congregations, restrictions on distributing religious literature, and official allegations associating their beliefs with extremism. In November 2022, the de facto authorities banned eight Jehovah's Witness publications and the organization's website. During 2023, a lecturer engaged by the authorities reportedly characterized Jehovah's Witness beliefs and publications as extremist and incompatible with the region's political order. Such actions demonstrate the danger of employing national-security and extremism-related accusations against peaceful religious communities.

The International Institute for Religious Freedom's Global Religious Freedom Index 2024–2026, published on August 1, 2025, provides important contemporary context. Its regional analysis identifies persistent state favoritism toward dominant religious traditions, including Orthodox Christianity, and continuing societal hostility toward religious minorities in post-

communist Eastern Europe and Central Asia. The report identifies negative media portrayals, hate speech, vandalism, and violent attacks as manifestations of religious intolerance and observes that Orthodox-majority societies may experience particularly strong connections between national identity, dominant religious institutions, and unequal treatment of minority faiths. These findings support the conclusion that the historical mechanisms underlying religious intolerance remain relevant.

The Bertelsmann Transformation Index 2026 country report on Moldova provides additional evidence of the continuing political importance of Orthodox Christianity. The report observes that, despite constitutional secularism and formal religious freedom, the traditional conservatism of Moldovan society encourages politicians to emphasize their religious affiliations and seek support from religious leaders. It also identifies the use of Orthodoxy as a political marker and an instrument of influence, particularly among conservative political forces. These findings are significant because they demonstrate that religious identity remains intertwined with political competition and conceptions of national loyalty, circumstances that may reinforce hostility toward minority religious communities perceived as culturally foreign or politically unreliable.

Recent developments also reveal increasing tensions involving the dominant Orthodox religious institutions themselves. On March 24, 2026, several nongovernmental organizations submitted allegations to United Nations human rights mechanisms concerning what they characterized as systematic interference with the rights of believers associated with the Orthodox Church of Moldova. The organizations alleged selective application of legislation, restrictions on freedom of movement, political pressure, and interference with religious activities. These

allegations illustrate the increasing politicization of religious identity and the emergence of new disputes concerning the relationship between religious institutions and state authority.

The broader deterioration of human rights conditions in Transnistria has continued into 2026. In its report published on February 26, 2026, Promo-LEX documented a significant deterioration of fundamental rights and freedoms during 2025, accompanied by increasingly repressive practices, persistent impunity, and the strengthening of security and law-enforcement institutions. On May 4, 2026, the organization reported continuing deterioration during the first quarter of 2026, including expanded surveillance, militarization, and serious abuses in detention. Although these reports are not limited to religious freedom, they provide important context for assessing the vulnerability of minority religious communities operating under the region's restrictive political and institutional conditions.

The Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe's Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights has also documented hate-motivated incidents in Moldova. Its reporting for 2024 identified incidents involving antisemitic hostility and other forms of prejudice, demonstrating that identity-based hostility remains a contemporary concern. Such findings are relevant to the broader social environment confronting minorities.

Protestants remain particularly vulnerable to longstanding stereotypes portraying their communities as sectarian, foreign-influenced, or insufficiently loyal to traditional religious and national values. Their religious practices, missionary activities, independent congregational organization, and commitment to freedom of conscience may bring them into conflict with individuals who regard Orthodox Christianity as an essential component of Moldovan identity. In some communities, such attitudes can result in verbal harassment, intimidation, social exclusion, interference with religious activities, and hostility toward converts. The potential involvement or

acquiescence of local officials is especially concerning because religious minorities depend upon those same institutions to protect their legal rights and personal security.

The relationship between religious identity and political loyalty has acquired additional importance since Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in February 2022. Moldova's political polarization, concerns about Russian influence, disputes between Orthodox jurisdictions, and the unresolved Transnistrian conflict have intensified public debates concerning national identity and allegiance. In such an environment, religious communities that do not conform to dominant cultural expectations may become vulnerable to accusations of foreign influence or insufficient patriotism. For Protestants, whose religious traditions emphasize independent religious authority, freedom of conscience, and transnational religious connections, these accusations can reinforce longstanding prejudices inherited from the Soviet period.

Contemporary conditions demonstrate the persistence of substantial obstacles to full religious equality in Moldova. The historical dominance of Orthodox Christianity, the survival of Soviet-era stereotypes concerning sectarian religious communities, political polarization, nationalist rhetoric, and uneven institutional protection can reinforce one another and create circumstances in which Protestants and other non-Orthodox Christians experience intimidation, hostility, administrative interference, social exclusion, and other forms of serious mistreatment. These concerns are especially pronounced in communities where local religious leaders exercise considerable influence and public officials fail to respond effectively to threats or violence against religious minorities.

The most recent available evidence supports a conclusion that religious intolerance remains a serious and evolving problem in Moldova, particularly where religious identity intersects with political loyalty, nationalism, and institutional authority. It also documents a

worsening broader human rights environment in Transnistria. The stronger conclusion is that longstanding religious prejudices remain influential, while recent political and institutional developments have created additional sources of tension and vulnerability.

In conclusion, Protestants and other non-Orthodox Christians in Moldova continue to confront a social and political environment shaped by historical religious majoritarianism, persistent suspicion toward minority faiths, nationalist conceptions of identity, and uneven enforcement of legal protections. Although religious freedom is constitutionally guaranteed and many minority congregations operate openly, these protections do not eliminate the possibility of targeted intimidation, threats, interference with worship, physical violence, or inadequate official responses in individual cases. The persistence of anti-sectarian stereotypes, the political importance of Orthodox religious institutions, and the particularly restrictive conditions in Transnistria demonstrate that religious intolerance remains an important contemporary human rights concern.

October 20, 2026